un



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/666,371	09/20/2000	Davi Geiger	24147.00	6163	
21003 7	590 12/18/2002				
BAKER & BOTTS			EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ABDULSELA	ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2674		

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

nn

		gn				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Summers	09/666,371	GEIGER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Abbas I Abdulselam	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	October 2002 .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	· ·					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/666,371 Page 2

Art Unit: 2674

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stytz et al. (USPN 5201035) in view of Baliney et al. (USPN 5797012).

Regarding claims 1, 15 and 34-37, Stytz teaches segmentation of a three dimensional image along a plane or planes of interest. Stytz teaches algorithm for volume determination in connection location in which cutting plane takes place. Stytz also teaches a three dimensional array in which voxel values are stored and are described in terms of coordinates. Furthermore, Stytz teaches the use of storage of the display information with sufficient memory which is organized as units. Stytz teaches of node(11i) with their corresponding coordinates (11m) along with voxel value (11n) and voxel coordinates (11o). However, Stytz does not teach a graph structure that demonstrates nodes in terms of edges and the partitioning process. Baliney on the other hand teaches a computer program generating mutigraph having nodes expressed with respect to edges. Blainey also teaches a method of limiting group size in which the totality of node weight procedure in included in the partition. See Fig 3..

Application/Control Number: 09/666,371 Page 3

Art Unit: 2674

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Stytz's method of three dimensional data manipulation to include Blainey's computer programing and partitioning technique. One would have been motivated in view of Blainey that the computer programing along with partitioning technique provides the desired configurations of edges with respect to nodes and the partitioning process..

The use of Baliney's computer programing and the partitioning process helps function a computer program involving data processing system as taught by Blainey.

Regarding claims 2 and 8, Stytz teaches a step to determine and confirm the eight image voxel coordinates belonging to the current Oct-tree leaf node have been generated. See col. 17, lines 34-37 and Fig 11a.

Regarding calims 3,10, 20-25 and 16-33, Stytz teaches the voxel data model representing data elements with array values. See col. 4, lines 35-42.

Regarding claims 4, 9 and 11, Stytz teaches the object space partition in terms of neighborhood of points. See col. 5, lines 54-66.

Regarding claims 5-6 and 12-13, Stytz teaches the application of data array for cube structure. See col. 5, lines 47-66.

Regarding claims 7, and 14, See Stytz's teaches volume rendering algorithm, See Fig 10(5N) where N stands for dimension.

Application/Control Number: 09/666,371 Page 4

Art Unit: 2674

## Conclusion

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered to applicant's disclosure.

The following arts are cited for further reference.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,421,809 to Wuytack et al.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,606,654 to Schuur

U.S. Pat. No. 5,210,837 to Wiecek

Application/Control Number: 09/666,371 Page 5

Art Unit: 2674

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner 3.

should be directed to Abbas Abdulselam whose telephone number is (703) 305-8591. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached at (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand delivered responses should be brought to crustal park II, Crystal Drive, Arlington,

VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology center 2600 customer Service office whose telephone

number is (703) 306-0377.

Abbas Abdulselam

Examiner

Art Unit 2674